General Anesthetic Induction Sequence High Fidelity Simulation: Determining Efficacy Among Novice Student Registered Nurse Anesthetists

Alex Wilkie BSN, RN; Steven Urbick BSN, RN; Kenn Daratha PhD Gonzaga University Doctor of Nurse Anesthesia Practice & Providence Sacred Heart Medical Center

Background

High Fidelity Simulation (HFS) is used across multiple health professions. Despite the wide variety of clinical experiences that **Student Registered Nurse Anesthetists (SRNA) possess prior to** training, the induction sequence to a General Anesthetic (GA) is a daunting task. Although the efficacy of HFS has been widely studied within undergraduate nursing programs, there are no studies published determining the efficacy of HFS on SRNA training.

The purpose of this study was to determine the efficacy of simulation training amongst entry-level SRNA's and examine any individual participant factors that may influence clinical performance within HFS.

Methods

- Human subjects were protected (Spokane IRB ID: STUDY18000465)
- Pilot study participants recruited from 1st semester SRNAs in the **Doctorate of Nurse Anesthesia Practice (DNAP)**
- Full study participants recruited from prospective DNAP students
- Primary endpoints: efficacy of GA induction sequence HFS
- Secondary endpoints: individual participant factors that may influence clinical performance within HFS
- Scoring tool developed to measure primary outcome (Figure 2)
- PowerPoint and didactic lecture provided to all participants
- Pretest assessment following didactic training, using scoring tool, prior to HFS
- Participants guided through HFS and subsequently debriefed
- Posttest assessment completed following HFS using scoring tool
- Each participant allotted 60 minutes for individual HFS session
- Analysis of variance utilizing a waitlisted study design
- A priori confidence level ($\alpha < 0.05$)

Find			Findi	ngs (cont.)				
Table 1. Demographic and Clir	nical Characterist	ics (N=37)	Table 3. Change in HFS Sco	ores by l	Demographic	and Clinical Ch	aracteristics			
Characteristic	Count	Percent	Demographic and Clinical Characteristics	n	Percent Δ HFS Score	Mean Pre HFS Score	Mean Post HFS Score	Mean Δ HFS Score	95% CI	p-valu
Gender Male	12	32%	Type of Critical Care Unit							
Gender Female	25	68%	CVICU	13	25%	24.8	33.0	8.2	6.2-9.7	<0.00
Type of Critical Care Experier	nce		MICU	8	31%	23.3	33.8	10.5	8.4-13.5	<0.00
CVICU	13	35%	NICU	3	29%	23.8	33.2	9.4	7.7-12.2	< 0.00
MICU	8	22%	SICU	3 10	29%	23.3	33.3 22 2	10.0	7.2-12.2	<0.00
NICU	3	8%	Critical Care RN Experien	TO Ce (vear	28% .c)	23.0	55.2	9.4	0.9-11.0	<0.00
SICU	3	8%	1 up to 3	16 (Jean	31%	23.0	33.4	10.4	7.5-13.3	<0.00
Mixed	10	27%	3 up to 5	13	25%	25.0	33.3	8.3	5.4-11.1	< 0.00
Δσο	10	2770	>5	9	27%	24.3	33.1	8.8	5.2-12.2	<0.00
~ 20	10	100/	Total RN Experience (years)							
< 30 > 20	10	49/0	1 up to 3	8	29%	23.5	33.1	9.6	6.0-13.2	<0.00
2 JU RN Experience (vears)	19	51%	3 up to 5	11	27%	24.8	33.8	9.0	5.7-12.2	<0.00
tup to 2	0	220/	5 up to 7	12	26%	24.5	33.2	8.7	5.5-11.9	<0.00
1 up to 3	8		Participant Type	6	27%	22.3	32.8	10.5	4.1-13.5	<0.00
3 up to 7	23	۲۵۷ ۵	SRNA	11	26%	24 6	33 4	8 8	5 6-11 9	<0.00
>/	6	10%	PSRNA	27	28%	23.8	33.2	9.4	7.3-11.5	<0.00
Critical Care Experience (yea	rs)		Participant Age			_			_	
1 up to 3	18	49%	<30	18	27%	24.2	33.2	9.0	6.9-11.0	<0.00
3 up to 5	12	32%	≥30	19	29%	23.8	33.4	9.6	-2.1-2.0	<0.00
> 5	7	19%	Gender		• <i>i</i>					
First semester SRNA	11	30%	Male	12	27%	24.0	33.0	9.0	6.9-11.0	< 0.00
Prospective SRNA	26	70%	HES - High Eidelity Simulation DN -	25 Registered		24.0	34.0	10.0	/.9-12.1	<0.00

CVICU = Cardiovascular Intensive Care Unit, MICU = Medical Intensive Care Unit, NICU = Neurosurgical Intensive Care Unit, SICU = Surgical Intensive Care Unit, Mixed = Mixed Intensive Care Unit, ICU = Intensive Care Unit, RN = Registered Nurse, SRNA = Student Registered Nurse Anesthetist

Figure 1. Power Analysis based on Pilot Study



Table 2. Efficacy of High-Fidelity Simulation (N=37)

	Mean Pre HFS Score	Mean Post HFS Score	Mean Δ HFS Score	95%CI	p-value
HFS	23.7	33.4	+9.7	8.0-10.7	< 0.001

HFS = High Fidelity Simulation

PROVIDENCE

Medical Center &

Children's Hospital

Sacred Heart

GONZAGA UNIVERSIT

School of Nursing & Human Physiology

Providence Sacred Heart Medical Center Gonzaga University Nurse Anesthesia Program

Findings (c	cont.
-------------	-------

Neurosurgical Intensive Care Unit, SICU = Surgical Intensive Care Unit, Mixed = Mixed Intensive Care Unit, SRNA = Student Registered Nurse Anesthetist (1st semester), PRNAI = Prospective Student Registered Nurse Anesthetist

Figure 2. Simulation Scoring Tool

Pre-Simulation Assessment		
Tasks	Performed	Order
Equipment Pre check (Suction)		
Patient Position (Ramp)		
Apply monitors		
Obtain baseline Vitals		
Print baseline strip		
Preoxygenate		
Admin Narc		
Admin Lidocaine		
Admin Propofol		
Lid reflex		
Tape Eyes		
Mask Ventilate		
Admin Paralytic		
Mask Ventilate		
Intubation		
confirm placement		
Gas		
Vent		
Flow		
Tape tube		
Total Score:		/35

Post-Simulation Assessment					
Tasks	Performed Order				
Equipment Pre check (Suction)					
Patient Position (Ramp)					
Apply monitors					
Obtain baseline Vitals					
Print baseline strip					
Preoxygenate					
Admin Narc					
Admin Lidocaine					
Admin Propofol					
Lid reflex					
Tape Eyes					
Mask Ventilate					
Admin Paralytic					
Mask Ventilate					
Intubation					
confirm placement					
Gas					
Vent					
Flow					
Tape tube					
Total Score:	/3	\$5			
previous intubation experience					
l if so what					

Our sufficiently powered study detected a 29.0% (mean pre-test 23.7, mean post-test 33.4) improvement in Simulation Assessment Tool scores following HFS (p < 0.001). Despite variations in pre HFS scores, post HFS scores remain homogeneous across all participants confirming the effectiveness of our training. Our study also indicated that there were no statistically significant group differences in HFS pre-test scores amongst the participants for the demographic factors we assessed; type of ICU (p=0.76), years of ICU experience (p=0.36), and age (p=0.91).

GA induction sequence training using HFS was proven to be effective among novice SRNA's. In alignment with the current research evidence, the use of HFS among SRNA's may help to improve learning, self-efficacy, and subsequently patient safety. Doctoral nurse anesthesia programs should consider the integration of HFS throughout program curriculum.

- Blum, R. H., Boulet, J. R., Cooper, J. B., & Muret-Wagstaff, S. L. (2014). Simulation-based Assessment to Identify Critical Gaps in Safe Anesthesia Resident Performance. Anesthesiology, 120(1), 129-141. doi:10.1097/aln.000000000000055
- Coburn, C. V., Gilland, D., & Stahl, K. (2018). High-Fidelity Simulation in an Undergraduate Ambulatory Care Nursing Course. Nursing Education Perspectives,1 doi:10.1097/01.nep.000000000000427
- Dalley, P., Robinson, B., Weller, J., & Caldwell, C. (2004). The Use of High-Fidelity Human Patient Simulation and the Introduction of New Anesthesia Delivery Systems. Anesthesia & Analgesia, 1737-1741. doi:10.1213/01.ane.0000136804.46675.ea
- Morgan, P., Tarshis, J., Leblanc, V., Cleave-Hogg, D., Desousa, S., Haley, M., . . . Law, J. (2009). Efficacy of high-fidelity simulation debriefing on the performance of practicing anaesthetists in simulated scenarios. British Journal of Anaesthesia,103(4), 531-537. doi:10.1093/bja/aep222
- Park CS, Rochlen LR, Yaghmour E, Higgins N, Bauchat JR, Wojciechowski KG, ... McCarthy. (2010). Acquisition of critical intraoperative event management skills in novice anesthesiology residents by using high-fidelity simulation-based training. Anesthesiology, 112(1), 202–211.
- The NCSBN National Simulation Study: A Longitudinal, Randomized, Controlled Study Replacing Clinical Hours with Simulation in Prelicensure Nursing Education. (2014). Journal of Nursing Regulation,5(2). doi:10.1016/s2155-8256(15)30062-4
- Tofil, N. M., Dollar, J., Zinkan, L., Youngblood, A. Q., Peterson, D. T., White, M. L., . . . King, C. (2014). Performance of anesthesia residents during a simulated prone ventricular fibrillation arrest in an anesthetized pediatric patient. Pediatric Anesthesia,24(9), 940-944. doi:10.1111/pan.12406
- Zarifsanaiey, N., Amini, M., & Saadat, F. (2016). A comparison of educational strategies for the acquisition of nursing student's performance and critical thinking: Simulation-based training vs. integrated training (simulation and critical thinking strategies). BMC Medical Education, 16(1). doi:10.1186/s12909-016-0812-0

age (<30, >30)

Total RN years

type of ICU

How many years of ICU

Discussion

References